

CCB Library/VWR Stockroom Survey Results

1. What is your status in the CCB department?

G1: 9.3% G2: 8.6% G3: 13.3% G4: 14.1% G5: 8.2% G6+:5.5% PD: 41.0%

2. Which of the following best describes your research?

Organic: 45.3% Physical: 25.0% Chemical Biology: 27.7% Inorganic: 2.0%

3. How often do you use the library's physical space and/or hard copy material? (1 = never, 2 = yearly, 3 = monthly, 4 = weekly, 5 = daily)

Never: 3.5% Yearly: 9.4% Monthly: 38.0% Weekly: 42.4%
Daily: 6.7%

4. How often do you use the VWR stockroom? (1 = never, 2 = yearly, 3 = monthly, 4 = weekly, 5 = daily)

Never: 0.8% Yearly: 1.6% Monthly: 16.1% Weekly: 63.9% Daily: 17.6%

5. Would elimination of the VWR stockroom significantly hinder your research? (1 = definitely not; 5 = definitely)

1: 6.0% 2: 3.6% 3: 6.4% 4: 23.1% 5: 61.0%

6. Would slower access to library materials via the depository significantly hinder your research? (1 = definitely not; 5 = definitely)

1: 7.8% 2: 10.5% 3: 14.1% 4: 24.6% 5: 43.0%

7. How strong is your preference for either hard-copy or electronic reference material? (1 = strong electronic; 5 = strong hard)

1: 37.5% 2: 22.9% 3: 20.9% 4: 9.1% 5: 9.5%

8. In your opinion, is the current CCB library too large, too small, or about right? (1 = much too large; 5 = much too small)

1: 2.0% 2: 6.3% 3: 73.4% 4: 12.7% 5: 5.6%

9. Prior to this survey, were you aware that CCB plans to relocate and downsize the departmental library and eliminate the VWR stockroom to build new lab space?

Yes: 61.1% No: 38.9%

10. Would the above plan, if implemented, likely have a positive or negative impact on the quality of life for CCB students and post-docs? (1 = strongly positive; 5 = strongly negative)

1: 0.0% 2: 0.8% 3: 5.9% 4: 31.1% 5: 62.2%

11. Would there likely be a positive or negative impact on *graduate student* recruiting? (1 = strongly positive; 5 = strongly negative)
- 1: 2.0% 2: 0.8% 3: 26.1% 4: 36.0% 5: 35.2%
12. Would there likely be a positive or negative impact on *faculty* recruiting? (1 = strongly positive; 5 = strongly negative)
- 1: 6.0% 2: 6.0% 3: 42.9% 4: 27.0% 5: 18.2%
13. In your opinion, how important is departmental expansion to maintaining our status as a premier academic scientific environment? (1 = not at all; 5 = very much)
- 1: 12.6% 2: 11.9% 3: 24.9% 4: 28.9% 5: 21.7%
14. How concerned are you with losing a piece of departmental history if the library is relocated? (1 = not at all; 5 = very much)
- 1: 6.6% 2: 5.9% 3: 10.5% 4: 25.0% 5: 52.0%
15. In 5 years, is the department likely to be better off with more lab space, less library space, and no stockroom? (1 = very likely; 5 = very unlikely)
- 1: 3.1% 2: 6.6% 3: 13.7% 4: 30.1% 5: 46.5%
16. In 20 years, is the department likely to be better off with more lab space, less library space, and no stockroom? (1 = very likely; 5 = very unlikely)
- 1: 3.9% 2: 8.2% 3: 21.9% 4: 24.6% 5: 41.4%
17. Would you agree that downsizing the library is the best long-term solution to the department's lab space problem? (1 = definitely; 5 = definitely not)
- 1: 2.3% 2: 5.1% 3: 9.0% 4: 12.5% 5: 71.1%
18. Do you personally support moving and downsizing the library and eliminating the VWR stockroom to allow departmental expansion? (1 = definitely; 5 = definitely not)
- 1: 1.6% 2: 2.7% 3: 4.7% 4: 15.3% 5: 75.7%